Volume 56

O F

M E T A L L U R G Y

DOI: 10.2478/v10172-011-0076-y

#### M. KONIECZNY\*, R. MOLA\*\*, P. THOMAS\*\*, M. KOPCIAŁ\*\*

## PROCESSING, MICROSTRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES OF LAMINATED NI-INTERMETALLIC COMPOSITES SYNTHESISED USING NI SHEETS AND AI FOILS

#### WYTWARZANIE, STRUKTURA ORAZ WŁASNOŚCI KOMPOZYTU WARSTWOWEGO NI-FAZY MIĘDZYMETALICZNE UZYSKANEGO Z BLACHY NIKLOWEJ I FOLII ALUMINIOWEJ

The laminated Ni-(NiAl<sub>3</sub>+Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>) and Ni-Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> intermetallic composites were fabricated by reaction synthesis in vacuum using Ni sheets and Al foils. The aluminium layers were completely consumed due to the formation of intermetallic phases. The Ni-Al reaction at 620°C was studied by interrupting in steps the reaction process to observe the microstructural changes. The final microstructure consisted of alternating layers of intermetallic phases and unreacted nickel can be designed easily because the stable structures of the composites depend only on the treating time. Microstructural examinations using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and X-ray microprobe analysis (EDX) demonstrated that after 1h of treatment Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> is the predominant intermetallic phase. The formation of the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> phase is thermodynamically favoured over the formation of the other phases and can be understood from the steps occurred through a series of solid state reactions. The tensile strength of the laminated composites increases with an increase of the volume fraction of the intermetallic products. However, it decreases after long heat treatment because the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> interfaces can very easily delaminate due to a very weak bonding caused by continuous Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> inclusions. Observations show that the laminated composites exhibit a mixture of brittle fracture of intermetallics and ductile one of residual Ni layers.

Keywords: multilayer composite, microstructure, nickel aluminides, mechanical properties

Używając blachy niklowej i folii aluminiowej wytworzono kompozyty warstwowe Ni-(NiAl<sub>3</sub>+Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>) oraz Ni-Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>. W wyniku reakcji syntezy zachodzących w temperaturze 620°C warstwy aluminium kompletnie przereagowały z częścią niklu i utworzyły fazy międzymetaliczne. Badano przebieg reakcji pomiędzy niklem i aluminium w temperaturze 620°C przerywając zachodzące reakcje i obserwując zmiany mikrostruktur. Stwierdzono, iż można łatwo sterować zmianami strukturalnymi wielowarstwowego kompozytu, gdyż zależą one jedynie od czasu wygrzewania. Badania z wykorzystaniem mikroskopu skaningowego, dyfraktometru oraz z zastosowaniem mikroanalizatora rentgenowskiego wykazały, że po 1 godzinie wygrzewania w 620°C dominującą fazą jest Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>, gdyż ma ona najniższą energię formowania w tej temperaturze. Wytrzymałość na rozciąganie kompozytów warstwowych Ni-fazy międzymetaliczne wzrasta wraz z czasem wygrzewania, a co za tym idzie, wraz ze wzrostem objętości faz międzymetalicznych. Jednak gdy czas wygrzewania jest dłuższy niż 2 godziny wytrzymałość na rozciąganie kompozytów zaczyna spadać. Spowodowane jest to występowaniem Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> na styku uformowanych warstw Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> i ich łatwą delaminacją. Obserwacje mikroskopowe wykazały, że przełom kompozytów warstwowych charakterem, częściowo kruchym (dla faz międzymetalicznych) i częściowo ciągliwym (dla warstw niklu).

# 1. Introduction

Metal-intermetallic laminated (MIL) composites embody and exploit the concept of synthetic multifunctional materials. They offer an attractive combination of properties distinct from the separate constituent phases, including: high-temperature strength, room-temperature toughness and thermal stability. They also have the potential to perform various functions, such as thermal management, ballistic protection, blast mitigation, heat exchange and vibration damping [1]. Among laminate composites, the Ti6Al4V-Al<sub>3</sub>Ti laminate has a great technological advantage and attracts special attention for aerospace applications [2]. Instead Ni-Al-based laminate composites (especially Ni-NiAl and Ni-Ni<sub>3</sub>Al) have high potential for substituting Ni-based superalloys and are

<sup>\*</sup> KIELCE UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF MECHATRONICS AND MACHINE BUILDING, DEPARTMENT OF METALS SCIENCE AND MATERIALS TECHNOLOGIES, 25-314 KIELCE, 7 1000-LECIA PP AV., POLAND

<sup>\*\*</sup> KIELCE UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF METALS SCIENCE AND MATERIALS TECHNOLOGIES, 25-314 KIELCE, 7 1000-LECIA PP AV., POLAND

considered as good candidate materials for high temperature structural and armour applications [3]. MIL composites can be produced by magnetron sputtering [4], electron beam evaporation [5], and vacuum plasma spraying [6]. In the last years a new technique, interlayer in-situ reaction process (called also reaction sintering [7] or reaction synthesis [3]), has been developed. In the method regularly distributed intermetallic layers are formed through reaction between alternatively laminated metal sheets at high temperature. The process is continued till one of the metals is fully consumed in the course of reaction. This technique involves relatively simple processing and the size and shape of the component that can be produced are not limited. In the way of the intermetallic phases synthesis Ti-intermetallics [1, 8], Fe-intermetallics [9], Mg-intermetallics [10], Nb-intermetallics [11], Cu-intermetallics [12, 13] and Ni-intermetallics [3, 7, 14] laminated composites have been processed. In the present study, this procedure was used to fabricate laminated Ni-(Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>+NiAl<sub>3</sub>) andNi-Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> composites. The uniformly distributed intermetallic layers were formed through a high temperature reaction between the alternatively laminated Ni sheets and Al foils. The progress in the synthesis process with a prolonged time of reaction between the elemental components was investigated. Hardness tests and tensile strength measurements were performed on the materials with different microstructures to establish the preliminary properties of the composites.

## 2. Experimental procedure

In the experiment, 600  $\mu$ m thick sheets of nickel (99.57 at. % Ni, 0.11 at. % Cu, 0.09 at. % Co, 0.08 at. % Si, 0.07 at. % Mg, 0.07 at. % Fe, 0.01 at. % Al) and 150  $\mu$ m thick foils of aluminium (99.53 at. % Al, 0.21 at. % Fe, 0.16 at. % Si, 0.05 at. % Zn, 0.03 at. % Cu, 0.02 at. % Ti) were used to fabricate laminated nickel-intermetallic composites. Nickel sheets and aluminium foils were cut into 50×10 mm rectangular pieces. Nickel sheets were ground with 800-grit abrasive paper. No special surface preparation treatment was applied to the aluminium foils prior to processing. Therefore, there were continuous Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films on the aluminium foils. Any contamination on the surface of sheets and foils was cleaned using water and then ethanol. After drying rapidly, they were laminated alternatively into nickel/aluminium multilayer samples (6 Ni and 5 Al layers) and then treated in a specially constructed furnace (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Equipment used in the present study

A pressure of 5 MPa was applied at room temperature to ensure good contact between the metals. The temperature was raised to 620°C at a heating rate of 0.25°C/s. The samples were heated in vacuum (0,01 Pa) at 620°C for different treating time (0.17, 0.33, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 h) under a uniaxial pressure of 1 MPa for the reaction synthesis and then furnace-cooled naturally to room temperature. In order to perform the observations of the reaction zone, the specimens were cut, mounted in a cold setting resin, initially mechanically ground with a grade 120-800 abrasive papers and finally polished using 1  $\mu$ m diamond suspension. Microstructural observations were performed using a JE-OL JMS-5400 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and a Carl Zeiss NEOPHOT 2 optical microscope. Before the samples were examined with the optical microscope they had been etched using an aqueous HF solution (5%) to reveal the structure of the intermetallic layers. The chemical analysis was performed using EDX spectrometer (Oxford Instruments ISIS-300). Composition of the phases was determined by comparing the results of the microprobe analysis with the data in the binary Al-Ni phase diagram (Fig. 2).

In addition, an X-ray diffraction using a D/max RAPID2 diffractiometer was employed to identify the intermetallic phases. Vickers measurements were performed by Hanemann microhardness tester mounted on NEOPHOT 2 microscope under load of 0.637 N for 15 s. Samples with dimensions of 50 mm×8 mm×4 mm, made from fabricated composites, were subjected to tension test on an INSTRON screw machine at a constant crosshead speed of 0.1 mm/min, corresponding to an initial strain rate of  $1.68 \cdot 10^{-3}$  1/s.



Fig. 2. Part of the Al-Ni binary phase diagram [15]

# 3. Results and discussion

Reaction synthesis between Ni sheets and Al foils under pressure resulted in a well-bonded, laminated composite microstructure with nickel layers sandwiched between intermetallics layers (Fig. 3).

An identification of the chemical compositions of the phases synthesised at the reaction zone was determined by SEM-EDX analysis. In principle, according to binary Al-NI phase diagram [16], six intermetallic phases could be produced during the reaction: NiAl<sub>3</sub>, Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>, Ni<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, NiAl, Ni<sub>5</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>Al. Since it is obvious that temperature and annealing time are important factors for the formation of different intermetallics, the samples were heated at 620°C for 0.17, 0.33, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 hours. Figure 4 shows typical microstructures of the intermetallic layer of the samples held at a temperature of 620°C for 1 and 50 hours.



Fig. 3. Microstructure of the Ni-intermetallics laminated composite formed at 620°C for 1 h



Fig. 4. Microstructure of the intermetallic layer formed after treatment for (a) 1 and (b) 50 h



Fig. 5. X-ray spectrum for the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> intermetallic phase

The examinations of the above structures conducted by means of an X-ray spectroscope showed that only two intermetallic phases and a solid solution were formed in the samples irrespectively of treating time (Fig. 5).

It was found that the wide layers in Fig. 4a neighbouring to Ni, containing 38.79 at. % Ni and 61.21 at. % Al, with the Ni : Al ratio about 2 : 3, are presumably the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> intermetallic phase [17]. Adjacent to Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>, the deeply shaded area, containing 23.78 at. % Ni and 76.22 at. % Al, with the Ni : Al ratio about 1 : 3, is possibly the NiAl<sub>3</sub> intermetallic compound [17]. The dark shaded region with a blade-shaped islands contains between 0.47 and 15.63 at. % Ni and between 84.37 and 99.53 at. % Al. In the region X-ray radiation was simultaneously emitted by two phases of the structure: (Al) solid solution and NiAl<sub>3</sub>. The XRD results (Fig. 6) confirmed that the composites consisted of Ni, NiAl<sub>3</sub> and Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> or only Ni and Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> dependently on the treating time.



Fig. 6. XRD patterns of the cross-section of the laminated composites formed at  $620^{\circ}$ C for (a) 1 and (b) 50 h

The Gibbs free energies of formation for the compounds Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> NiAl<sub>3</sub>, NiAl and Ni<sub>3</sub>Al at 620°C, are -309.9, -166.2, -131.9 and -41.6 kJ/mol, respectively [18, 19]. The formation of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> as the almost only product after 50 hours of the reaction between nickel and aluminium at 620°C is thermodynamically favoured and can be understood from the steps involved in another phases formation. Reactions between the Ni sheets and the Al foils depend on the diffusion of the Ni and the Al atoms through continuous and dense Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films on the surfaces of the Al foils. It is very profitable because the  $Al_2O_3$  films can be used as tracers [3]. There was observed migration of the oxide films from the surfaces of the Al foils to the middle of the formed intermetallic layers. The reaction between Ni and Al proceeds by means of diffusion of Al and Ni atoms through the  $Al_2O_3$  films and formation of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>, NiAl<sub>3</sub> and (Al) solid solution (Fig. 7).

Figure 8 shows the map of elements obtained with SEM confirmed that both Al and Ni atoms could diffuse trough the  $Al_2O_3$  films.



Fig. 7. Diagram showing observed reaction mechanisms between Ni and Al at 620°C



Fig. 8. SEM image of the Ni-Al reaction zone after treating at 620°C for 1 hour together with the maps of Al and Ni distribution

According to Xia and co-workers [20], there are three stages of formation of an intermetallic layer: intermetallic growth, transformation and stability. In the first stage both NiAl<sub>3</sub> and Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layers grow but the NiAl<sub>3</sub> layer grows more quickly because the diffusion reaction:  $Ni + 3Al \rightarrow NiAl_3$  occurs very fast at the interface. After the completely consumption of Al, the layer consists of regular layers of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> (adjacent to Ni), irregular layers of NiAl<sub>3</sub> and the reaction zone consisting of NiAl<sub>3</sub> particles in (Al) matrix (Fig. 4a), which are thought to be produced by stresses resulting from the growth of the intermetallic layer. Generally, extensive lattice diffusion results in a uniform interface. On the other hand, diffusion along grain boundaries generates an irregular interface. The growth of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layers at 620°C is limited by diffusion of Al atoms trough the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films. Therefore, diffusion of Al atoms along grain boundaries is inhibited, which results in straight, uniform interfaces between Ni and Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> (Figs 4a and 4b). Thereafter the transformation follows, in which the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer develops by the diffusion of Ni atoms into the NiAl<sub>3</sub> phase. The irregular shape of the NiAl<sub>3</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> interface indicates that the diffusion reaction: Ni + NiAl<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> does not generate equally fast. Further formation and growth of NiAl<sub>3</sub> requires a continuos supply of Ni atoms from

the Ni substrate through the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer. Since a large part of diffusing atoms is exhausted for the formation and growth of the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer, this causes a deficiency of Ni atoms for the growth of the NiAl<sub>3</sub> layer [21]. Therefore the thickness of the NiAl<sub>3</sub> layer is much smaller than that of the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer. When the NiAl<sub>3</sub> layers are consumed completely the stable stage comes, in which the structure keeps unchanged. The final stable structure at the temperature of 620°C is Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>/Ni layers. Fig. 9 shows the thickness of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> and NiAl<sub>3</sub>+ (Al) layers as a function of treating time at a temperature of 620°C.

The relationship between the thickness of the intermetallic layer and holding time can be given by the generally accepted equation

$$x = kt^n \tag{1}$$

where x is the thickness of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer, t is the holding time, n is the time exponent and k is a constant. It is well known that when n=1 the process is governed by the chemical reaction and when n=0.5 the process is controlled solely by the volume diffusion. It was found out that both mechanisms take place in the growth of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer. The first one (till 0.5 hour of annealing) with linear growth of the thickness of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer corresponds to



Fig. 9. The thickness of Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> and NiAl<sub>3</sub>+ (Al) layers as a function of time

reaction at the phase boundary and the second one (after 0.5 hour of annealing), with parabolic shape, which is attributed to the volume diffusion. The results are consistent with work of Pieraggi [22] and Wojewoda and Zięba [23]. On the basis of measurements a relationship between the thickness (expressed in  $\mu$ m) of the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layer and the holding time (expressed in hours) after 0.5 hour of annealing has been derived

$$x = 45t^{0.5} + 67\tag{2}$$

 $Ni_2Al_3$  has  $D5_{13}$  hexagonal crystallographic structure and its lattice parameters, a and c, are 0.403 and 0.489 nm, respectively [24]. By using the reported lattice parameters and the crystal structure at room temperature the density of  $Ni_2Al_3$  was estimated to be 4.66 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. If the aluminium layer is transformed completely into  $Ni_2Al_3$ , the thickness of the intermetallic layer can be expressed as [20]

$$x_{Ni_2Al_3} = \frac{\rho_{Al}}{\rho_{Ni_2Al_3}} \cdot \frac{L_{Al}}{1-m} = \frac{2.7}{4.66} \cdot \frac{L_{Al}}{1-m} = 0.579 \cdot \frac{L_{Al}}{1-m}$$
(3)

where  $L_{Al}$  is the thickness of the aluminium layer, *m* is nickel weight percent in the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> intermetallic phase,  $\rho_{Al}$  and  $\rho_{Ni2Al3}$  are the density of the aluminium and intermetallic layers, respectively.

Various phases formed during synthesis were further confirmed by microhardness measurements. Values of  $759\pm25$  HV and  $510\pm7$  HV were obtained for Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> and NiAl<sub>3</sub> phases, respectively. The large fluctuation in Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> hardness is caused by possible variation in the Ni to Al ratio (Eleno et al. [17] reported that Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> can contain between 59.5 and 63.2 at. % Al). Comparatively measured, the microhardness of nickel was 230HV. The aluminide phases (especially Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>) give high hardness and stiffness to the composite, while unreacted nickel provides the necessary high strength and ductility. Fig. 10 shows the tensile strength of the Ni-intermetallics laminated composites treated at a temperature of 620°C for different time.



Fig. 10. Tensile strength of the Ni-intermetallics laminated composites as a function of time

At the initial treatment (after 0.17 h), the tensile strength was 328 MPa, that is equal to the results from the rule of mixture. With increasing the treating time the tensile strength increases up to a maximum value of 630 MPa (after 2 h) and then drops to a minimum value of about 294 MPa (when treating time is longer than 5 h). The increase of the tensile strength is due to the presence of the high-strength intermetallic layers. The strength decrease after long heat treatment is caused by the presence of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> inclusions that exist in a continuous state in the middle of the newly formed Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layers (especially at the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> interfaces), which cause very weak bonding between the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> layers (Figs 4b and 7d). The fracture surfaces of samples heat-treated longer than 2 hours were extremely ragged (Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> interfaces were all delaminated) and therefore they were difficult to make into a specimens for SEM observations.

#### 4. Conclusions

The laminated Ni-(NiAl<sub>3</sub>+Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>) and Ni-Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> intermetallic composites were formed by reaction synthesis of Ni and Al foils at 620°C carried out under vacuum. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. The well-bonded and fully dense layered structures can be designed easily. The stable structures of the composites depend only on the treating time at 620°C.
- 2. The microstructural characterisation by SEM, XRD and X-ray microprobe analysis indicated that after 1h of treatment  $Ni_2Al_3$  is the predominant intermetallic phase.
- The tensile strength of the laminated composites increases with an increase of the volume fraction of the intermetallic products. However, it decreases after long heat treatment because the Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> interfaces can very easily delaminate due to a very weak bonding caused by continuous Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> inclusions.
- 4. The fracture surface of the laminated composites exhibits a mixture of brittle fracture of intermetallics and ductile one of residual Ni layers.

### REFERENCES

- [1] K.S. Vecchio, JOM **3**, 25-31 (2005).
- [2] T. Li, J. Fenghun, E.A. Olevsky, K.S. Vecchio, M.A. Meyers, Mater. Sci. Eng. A443, 1-15 (2007).
- [3] H. Wang, J. Han, S. Du, D.O. Northwood, J. Mater. Proc. Technol. 200, 433-440 (2008).
- [4] P.M. Anderson, J.F. Bingert, A. Misra, J.P. Hirth, Acta Mater. 51, 6059-6075 (2003).
- [5] T.S. Dyer, Z.A. Munir, Metall. Mater. Trans. 26B, 603-610 (1995).
- [6] S.R. Kalidindi, R.W. Smith, M.J. Koczak, T.S. Hussey, Mater. Sci. Eng. **A229**, 137-146 (1997).
- [7] J.C. Rawers, D.E. Alman, Comp. Sci. Technol. 54, 379-384 (1995).
- [8] M. Peng, H. Li, J.H. Wang, Mater. Sci. Eng. A406, 309-318 (2005).
- [9] H. Takuda, H. Fuijmoto, N. Hatta, J. Mater. Sci. 33, 91-97 (1998).
- [10] A. Dziadoń, R. Mola, Kompozyty (Composites)4, 364-368 (2008).
- [11] D.R. Bloyer, K.T. Venkateswara Rao, R.O. Ritchie, Mater. Sci. Eng. A239-240, 393-398 (1997).
- [12] M. Konieczny, A. Dziadoń, Mater. Sci. Eng. A460-461, 238-242 (2007).
- [13] M. Konieczny, A. Dziadoń, Arch. Metal. Mater. 52, 555-562 (2007).
- [14] W.H. Xu, X.K. Meng, C.S. Yuan, A.H.W. Ngan,K. Wang, Mater. Lett. 46, 303-308 (2000).
- [15] S.S. Daniel, V.S.R. Murthy, Mater. Lett. 37, 334-339 (1998).
- [16] H. B a k e r, ASM Handbook, tenth ed. ASM International, Materials Park, OH, vol. 3, 249 (1992).
- [17] L. Eleno, K. Frisk, A. Schneider, Intermetallics 14, 1276-1290 (2006).
- [18] G. Róg, G. Borchardt, M. Wellen, W. Löser, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 35, 261-268 (2003).
- [19] W. Huang, Y.A. Chang, Intermetallics 6, 487-498 (1998).
- [20] Z. Xia, J. Liu, S. Zhu, Y. Zhao, J. Mater. Sci. 34, 3731-3735 (1999).
- [21] G.A. Lopez, S. Sommadossi, W. Gust, E.J. Mittemeijer, P. Zięba, Interface Sci. 10, 13-19 (2002).
- [22] B. Pieraggi, Oxid. Met. 27, 177-185 (1987).
- [23] J. Wojewoda, P. Zięba, Inżynieria Materiałowa 1, 24-28 (2004).
- [24] P. Zhu, J.C.M. Li, C.T. Liu, Mater. Sci. Eng. A329-331, 57-68 (2002).

Received: 10 February 2011.