O F

METALLURGY

DOI: 10.1515/amm-2015-0393

Volume 60

W. WOŁCZYŃSKI*#

BACK-DIFFUSION IN CRYSTAL GROWTH. PERITECTICS

DYFUZJA WSTECZNA WE WZROŚCIE KRYSZTAŁU. PERYTEKTYKI

A model for the solute micro-segregation/redistribution is delivered. The description is associated with solidification of the peritectic alloys. The peritectic transformation is treated as the phenomenon which modifies the solute redistribution profile resulting from both partitioning and back-diffusion. The relationship allowing for the amount of peritectic phase calculation is also formulated. *Keywords:* micro-segregation; redistribution; peritectic reaction

Proponowany jest model mikrosegregacji/redystrybucji składnika stopowego. Opis ten dotyczy krystalizacji stopów perytektycznych. Transformacja perytektyczna jest traktowana jako zjawisko, które modyfikuje profil redystrybucji składnika wynikający z rozdziału i dyfuzji wstecznej. Sformułowane jest także równanie, które pozwala wyznaczyć ilość fazy perytektycznej.

Notation

- D_{S} coefficient of diffusion into the solid, $[m^{2}/s]$
- k_i equilibrium partition ratio for *i*-th solidification range, [mole fr./mole fr.]
- k_i^0 first component of the k_i partition ratio, [mole fr./mole fr.]
- k_i^L second component of the k_i^L partition ratio, [mole fr./ mole fr.]
- l_i^0 amount of the liquid at the beginning of the *i*-th solidification range, [dimensionless]
- L_0 amount of the liquid at the beginning of solidification; $L_0 = 1$, [dimensionless]
- N_i^B current solute redistribution in the solid for the *i*-th range, [mole fr.]
- N_i^L current solute concentration in the liquid for the *i*-th range, [mole fr.]
- N_i^S current solute concentration at the s/l interface for the *i*-th range, [mole fr.]
- N_0 nominal solute concentration of a studied alloy or N_{i-1} for i = 1, [mole fr.]
- N_i solute concentration at the end of the *i*-th solidification range, also point on liquidus line at which peritectic transformation occurs, [mole fr.]

- N_{i-1} point on *liquidus* line at which the peritectic transformation occurs, [mole fr.]
- \overline{N}_{S} average solute concentration within the solid, [mole fr.]
- q number of solidification ranges in a given phase diagram,
- R_i half the space of primary phase, [m]
- R_i^{max} half the space of: primary phase + peritectic phase, [m]
- R_i^{\min} half the space of primary phase which remains after peritectic reaction, [m]
- t_i^f local solidification time for the *i*-th solidification range, [s]
- *t_i^p* local peritectic transformation time for the *i*-th solidification range, [s]
 - temperature, [K]

Т

x

- current amount of a growing crystal; x ∈ [0.1], [dimensionless]
- *x_i* amount of primary phase which takes part in peritectic reaction, [dimensionless]
- *x*_i⁰ amount of the crystal at a given stage of solidification when solidification is arrested within the *i*-th solidification range, [dimensionless]
- x_i^{\max} amount of: peritectic phase + primary phase after peritectic reaction, [dimensionless]

Corresponding author: w.wolczynski@imim.pl

^{*} INSTITUTE OF METALLURGY AND MATERIALS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 25 REYMONTA STR., 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

- x_i^{\min} amount of remaining primary phase after peritectic reaction, [dimensionless]
- *X*⁰ amount of a growing crystal at a given solidification stage, [dimensionless]
- α_i^D back-diffusion parameter for the primary phase formation, [dimensionless]
- α_i^P back-diffusion parameter for the peritectic phase formation, [dimensionless]
- β_i^{ex} coefficient of the extent of redistribution, [dimension-less]
- β_i^{in} coefficient of the intensity of redistribution, [dimension-less]

Introduction

Most castings show some evidences of a fine-scale solute redistribution. This compositional inhomogeneity can be revealed as a solute concentration gradient on a micro-scale, and additionally, it can result in the formation of a second phase. The inhomogeneity is additionally perturbed when solidification is accompanied by peritectic transformations or peritectic and eutectic transformations which appear during solidification sequentially.

The current model provides the definitions for the *solidification path*, *s/l interface path* and *redistribution path* in the case of solidification of an alloy which comes from the multiperitectic phase diagrams. The current model is a kind of the development of the precedent models delivered for eutectic alloys solidification and resulting solute redistribution, [1-24]. Some examples of the model application are mentioned.

1. Scheil's type model with peritectic reactions

The Scheil's model [1], (with $\alpha = 0$), has been successfully developed for solidification of some alloys from the multiperitectic phase diagrams, [25-29]. The following equation is formulated to describe the *solidification path*:

$$N^{L}(x;0) = N_{i-1} \left[(1-x) / (1-x_{i-1}) \right]^{k_{i}-1}$$

$$x \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i}], \quad i = 1, ..., q$$

$$x_{i} = 1 - (N_{0})^{1/(1-k_{1})} (N_{i})^{1/(k_{i}-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (N_{j})^{1/(k_{j}-1)-1/(k_{j+1}-1)}$$
(1a)

; _ **)**

The *s/l interface path* is given as:
$$N^{S}(x;0) = k N^{L}(x;0) = k N_{i-1} [(1-x)/(1-x_{i-1})]^{k_{i}}$$
. Since $\alpha = 0$, and no diffusion occurs,
[6], the *redistribution path* $N^{B}(x;0)$ means the same as the *s/l interface path*, $N^{B}(x;0) \equiv N^{S}(x;0)$. The mass balance is satisfied in this model, [25-29].

2. Micro-segregation with finite back-diffusion and peritectic transformations

Solidification accompanied by the peritectic transformation results in the intermetallic phase or compound formation.

$$x_i + liquid(N_i) \Rightarrow \left[x_i^{\max} - x_i^{\min}\right]$$
(2)

Formation of the intermetallic phase/compound can be described by an universal definition of the partition ratio which varies with the $N_i^L(T) - liquidus$ line, Eq. (3).

$$k_i(N_i^L) = k_i^0 + k_i^L N_{i-1} / N_i^L(T) \quad i = 1, ..., q$$
(3)

The N_{i-1} solute concentration is equal to the N_0 – nominal solute concentration for the first solidification range (with i = 1). When, $k_i^L = 0$, then the partition ratio becomes constant: $k_i = k_i^0$. Additionally,

$$l_{i}^{0} = \begin{cases} L^{0}, & i = 1; \\ L^{0} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{j}^{max}, & i = 2, ..., n \end{cases}$$

$$r^{0} = \begin{cases} X^{0}, & i = 1; \\ & i-1 \end{cases}$$
(4)

$$\begin{cases} x_i^0 = \\ X^0 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j^{max}, & i = 2, ..., n \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_i^D = D_S t_i^f / R_i^2 \tag{5a}$$

$$\alpha_i^D = D_S t_i^p / \left(R_i^{\max} - R_i^{\min} \right)^2$$
(5b)

2a. Solidification with constant partitioning

Intermetallic phase formation is usually accompanied by a constant partitioning. Thus, $k_i^L = 0$ in Eq. (3) and $k_i(N_i^L) = k_i^0 = const.$ is to be assumed:

$$\frac{dN_i^L}{dx} = \frac{(1-k_i^0) N_i^L}{l_i^0 + \alpha_i^D k_i^0 x - x}$$
(6)

Eq. (6) can be solved with the use of the so-called travelling initial condition: $N_i^L(0, \alpha_i^D, l_i^0, N_{i-1}, k_i^0) = N_{i-1}$ which is applied at every peritectic transformation appearing sequentially as visible in a given phase diagram.

Consequentially, the solution to the differential equation for micro-segregation, Eq. (6), defines the *solidification path*:

$$N_{i}^{L}(x,\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}^{0}) = N_{i-1}\left[\left(l_{i}^{0}+\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}x-x\right)/l_{i}^{0}\right]^{\left(k_{i}^{0}-1\right)/\left(1-\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}\right)}$$
(7)

The micro-segregation which results from the partitioning is given as follows:

$$\frac{N_{i}^{S}(x, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}^{0})}{k_{i}^{0} N_{i}^{L}(x, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}^{0})}$$
(8)

Eq. (8) describes the *s/l interface path*, that is: solute concentration at the s/l interfaces which appeared during solidification in sequence. However, the *redistribution path* is to be described separately:

$$N_{i}^{B}\left(x, x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}^{0}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \beta_{i}^{ex}\left(x, x_{i}^{0}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i}^{0}\right) \\ \beta_{i}^{in}\left(x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i}^{0}\right) \end{bmatrix} N_{i}^{S}\left(x, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}^{0}\right)$$
(9)

Additionally,

a/ coefficient of the extent of redistribution:

$$\beta_i^{ex}\left(x, x_i^0, l_i^0, k_i^0\right) = \frac{\left(1 - k_i^0\right)\left(x_i^0 - x\right)}{\left(l_i^0 + k_i^0 x_i^0 - x_i^0\right)} \tag{10}$$

b/ coefficient of the intensity of redistribution

$$\beta_{i}^{im}(x_{i}^{0},\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},k_{i}^{0}) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i}^{D}(2\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{0}-1)\left[(l_{i}^{0}+k_{i}^{0}x_{i}^{0}-x_{i}^{0})\right] \\ N_{i}^{L}(x_{i}^{0},\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}^{0})-l_{i}^{0}\right] \times \\ (l_{i}^{0}+k_{i}^{0}x_{i}^{0}-x_{i}^{0}) \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k_{i}^{0}-1 \end{pmatrix}\left[\left(l_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}N_{i}^{L}(x_{i}^{0},\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}^{0})-\left(l_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}+\right]^{-1} \\ x_{i}^{0}l_{i}^{0}(2(\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}-1)N_{i}^{L}(x_{i}^{0},\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}^{0})-\left] \\ 2\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}+k_{i}^{0}+1\right)+(\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}x_{i}^{0}-x_{i}^{0})^{2} \\ N_{i}^{L}(x_{i}^{0},\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}^{0}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(11)$$

are formulated by considering the mass balance in the envisaged system.

2b. Solidification with varying partitioning

Nothing opposites against the use Eq. (3) in full form in order to formulate the differential equation for micro-segregation dealing with the multi-peritectic phase diagrams and intermetallic phase/compound formation.

$$\frac{dN_i^L}{dx} = \frac{(1-k_i^0) N_i^L - k_i^L N_{i-1}}{l_i^0 + \alpha_i^D k_i^0 x - x}$$
(12)

In this case, the following solution to Eq. (12), in an analytical form, is obtained:

$$N_{i}^{L}\left(x,\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}\right) = \frac{N_{i-1}}{1-k_{i}^{0}} \begin{cases} k_{i}^{L}+(1-k_{i}^{0}-k_{i}^{L}) \\ \left[\left(l_{i}^{0}+\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}x-x\right)/l_{i}^{0}\right]^{\left(k_{i}^{0}-1\right)/\left(1-\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0}\right)} \end{cases}$$
(13)

The travelling initial condition: $N_i^L(0, \alpha_i^D, l_i^0, N_{i-1}, k_i) = N_{i-1}$ was applied to Eq. (12) to formulate the definition of the *solidification path*, Eq. (13). Furtherly, the Eq. (13) is rearranged to define the *s/l interface path*:

$$N_{i}^{S}(x,\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}) = k_{i}^{0}N_{i}^{L}\left(x,\alpha_{i}^{D},l_{i}^{0},N_{i-1},k_{i}\right) + k_{i}^{L}N_{i-1}$$
(14)

The *redistribution path* is described separately, as supposed previously:

$$N_{i}^{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \beta_{i}^{ex}(x, x_{i}^{0}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i}) \\ \beta_{i}^{in}(x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i}) \end{bmatrix} N_{i}^{S}(x, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}) \quad (15)$$

Consequentially, the definition of the coefficient of the extent of redistribution is given by the following formula:

$$\beta_{i}^{ex}\left(x, x_{i}^{0}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i}\right) = \frac{k_{i}^{0} l_{i}^{0} \left(1 - k_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{L}\right) \left(x_{i}^{0} - x\right)}{\left(l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{0} x_{i}^{0} - x_{i}^{0}\right) \left(k_{i}^{0} l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} l_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{L} x\right)}$$
(16)

whereas the analytical form of the coefficient of the intensity of redistribution is:

$$\beta_{i}^{in} \left(x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{3} k_{i}^{L} \left(1 - k_{i}^{0} \right) \left(a_{4} - l_{i}^{0} N_{i-1} + x_{i}^{0} \right) \\ \left(l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{0} x_{i}^{0} - x_{i}^{0} \right) \left(\alpha_{i}^{D} - 1 \right) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} a_{2} a_{3} l_{i}^{0} k_{i}^{0} N_{i-1} \left(a_{2} l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} x_{i}^{0} \left(\alpha_{i}^{D} - 1 \right) + \\ a_{5} \left(k_{i}^{0} l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} l_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{L} x_{i}^{0} \right) \left(\alpha_{i}^{D} - 1 \right) + \\ a_{1} a_{2}^{2} N_{i-1} \left(a_{6} f_{2} - a_{3} l_{i}^{0} k_{i}^{0} \right) \\ \left(l_{i}^{0} + \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}^{0} x_{i}^{0} - x_{i}^{0} \right) - a_{2}^{2} a_{6} f_{1} l_{i}^{0} N_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (17) \\ (17$$

where the applied hyper-geometrical function:

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b,c,x) =$$

$$1 + \frac{a \ b \ x}{1! \ c} + \frac{a \ (a+1) \ b \ (b+1) \ x^{2}}{2! \ c \ (c+1)} + \dots =$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k} \ (b)_{k} \ x^{k}}{(c)_{k} \ k!}$$
(17a)

has the following properties:

$$f_{1} = {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{0}}{\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - 1}, 1; \frac{2 \alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{0} - 1}{\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - 1}; \\ \frac{k_{i}^{L}}{k_{i}^{0} (\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} + \alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{L} - 1)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(17b)
$$f_{2} = {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{0}}{\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - 1}, 1; \frac{2 \alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{0} - 1}{\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} - 1}; \\ \frac{k_{i}^{L} (l_{i}^{0} + \alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} x_{i}^{0} - x_{i}^{0})}{k_{i}^{0}l_{i}^{0} (\alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{0} + \alpha_{i}^{D}k_{i}^{L} - 1)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(17c)

and

$$\begin{split} a_{1} &= \left[\left(l_{i}^{0} + \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}^{0} x_{i}^{0} - x_{i}^{0} \right) / l_{i}^{0} \right]^{\left(k_{i}^{0} - 1\right) / \left(1 - \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}^{0}\right)};\\ a_{2} &= k_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} - 1; \ a_{3} &= k_{i}^{0} \alpha_{i}^{D} + k_{i}^{L} \alpha_{i}^{D} - 1;\\ a_{4} &= \frac{N_{i-1} \left(l_{i}^{0} - x_{i}^{0} \right) \left(k_{i}^{L} - a_{1} a_{2}\right)}{1 - k_{i}^{0}};\\ a_{5} &= \ln \frac{k_{i}^{0} l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} l_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{L} x_{i}^{0}}{k_{i}^{0} l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} l_{i}^{0}};\\ a_{6} &= \left(k_{i}^{0} l_{i}^{0} + k_{i}^{L} l_{i}^{0} - k_{i}^{L} x_{i}^{0}\right) \left(\alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}^{0} - 1\right). \end{split}$$

2c. Amount of the peritectic phase

The $(x_i^{\max} - x_i^{\min})$ – amount of the peritectic phase which results from peritectic transformation, Eq. (2), can also be calculated due to the mass balance consideration. At first, the definition of the x_i^{\max} – parameter is determined:

$$x_{i}^{\max} (x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) = x_{i}^{mem} (x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0});$$
when $r_{i} (\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) > (N_{i} - k_{i+1}^{0}, N_{i}) \times$ (18)
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{mem} (x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) - \\ x_{i} (\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \end{bmatrix}$$
 $r_{i} (\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) = k_{i+1}^{0} N_{i} x_{i} (\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) - \\ \int_{0}^{x_{i}} N_{i}^{B} (x, x_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) dx$

$$x_{i}^{mem} (x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) + \\ \left[x_{i} (\alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i-1}^{0}, N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i+1}^{0}) - \right] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\min \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \\ x_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \end{bmatrix} \times \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \\ x_{i}(0, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \end{bmatrix} \times \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}(1, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \\ x_{i}(0, l_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}N_{i}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18b)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i}^{\max}(x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) &= \\ x_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) + \\ r_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) / (N_{i} - k_{i+1}^{0}, N_{i}); \\ \text{when} \quad r_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) \leq (N_{i} - k_{i+1}^{0}, N_{i}) \times \quad (19) \\ \left[x_{i}^{mem}(x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0}) - \right] \\ x_{i}(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Next, the definition of the x_i^{\min} – parameter required by Eq. (2), is delivered:

$$\int_{0}^{x_{i}^{\min}} \left[N_{i}^{B} \left(x + x_{i} - x_{i}^{\min}, x_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}^{0} \right) - \right] dx + \\
\int_{x_{i}^{min}}^{x_{i}} \left[k_{i+1}^{0} N_{i} - N_{i}^{B} \left(x, x_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, k_{i}^{0} \right) \right] dx = \\
\left(N_{i} - k_{i+1}^{0} N_{i} \right) \left[x_{i}^{\max} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{D}, \alpha_{i}^{P}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, \\ N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}, k_{i+1}^{0} \end{array} \right) - \\
x_{i} \left(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0} \right) \right] dx = \\$$
(20)

Finally, the x_i – amount of primary phase which enters into peritectic transformation, Eq. (2), is developed with the use of Eq. (7) formulated for constant partitioning:

$$x_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}^{0}\right) = l_{i}^{0}\left[1 - \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}^{0}\right]^{-1} \left[1 - \left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right)^{\left(1 - \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}^{0}\right) / \left(k_{i}^{0} - 1\right)}\right]$$
(21a)

However, the x_i – amount of primary phase is to be determined due to adequate rearrangement of Eq. (13) formulated for varying partitioning.

$$x_{i} \left(\alpha_{i}^{D}, l_{i}^{0}, N_{i-1}, N_{i}, k_{i}\right) = l_{i}^{0} \left[1 - \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}\right]^{-1} \left[1 - \left(N_{i} / N_{i-1}\right)^{\left(1 - \alpha_{i}^{D} k_{i}\right)/(k_{i}-1)}\right]$$
(21b)

Eq. (2)–Eq. (21) have found practical application in the description of different technologies, like: diffusion soldering, [30-34], diffusion brazing, [36,37], ceramic spraying on metallic substrate, [30], and D-gun spraying of Fe-Al particles onto steel substrate, [35,38].

The current mathematical approach can be fully introduced into the numerical simulation of the continuous casting technology, [39,40], or into a simple description of the crystal growth for which an improvement of material properties by means of unidirectional solidification is required, [41].

3. Concluding remarks

The new definition for the partitioning has been formulated, Eq. (3). This formula has an universal character and can be successfully applied in the description of the intermetallic phase/ compound formation. Its full form should rather be used in the case when the *liquidus* and *solidus* lines have particular localization or complicated shape. Even, the use of the full form of the partition ratio definition, Eq. (3) results in the analytical solution, Eq. (13), to the differential equation for micro-segregation, Eq. (12). All the terms of this definition, Eq. (3) have the same unit.

Both solutions, Eq. (7), Eq. (13), to the differential equations, Eq. (6), Eq. (12), respectively, have an universal character. Therefore, these solutions are reducible to the analogous solution obtained for eutectic alloys, [24], while applying $k_i^L = 0$, and $l_i^0 = L^0$ with q = 1.

The definition of the solute redistribution can be introduced into the formula which determines the degree of solute macro-segregation: $i_{macr.} = [N_{max}^B - N_{min}^B]/N_0$, [42]. It allows for illustrating the so-called macro-segregation maps, [43].

Acknowledgements

I would like to extend my utmost gratitude to my mentor, Professor Gerard Lesoult from the *Ecole des Mines de Nancy*, France. Our discussions motivated me to undertake these efforts to solve a problem of the mass balance in the description of the solute redistribution. The co-operation with Professor Bernard Billia from the *Universite d'Aix Marseille*, France, was fruitful and allowed me to understand the physical back-ground of the cellular pattern formation.

In particular, I wish to thank Dr. Henri Nguyen-Thi from Professor Bernard Billia's research team for the samples of the Al-3.5Li alloy solidified in microgravity and helpful explanations on the effect of convection on the fluid motion.

I am grateful to Professor Takateru Umeda from the *University of Tokyo*, Japan, for the guidance in dealing with the solute redistribution during my stay at his laboratory. By being in the presence of the fellowship awarded by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, I was able to continue the study of the doublets structure in some alloys solidified in the terrestrial conditions.

I wish to thank my colleagues Dr. Toshimitsu Okane from the *University* of *Tokyo*, Japan, and Dr. Marek Faryna from the *Jagiellonian University* in Kraków, Poland, for their assistance in the investigation of the oriented structure of the chilled samples of an alloy and for an electron scanning microscope measurements.

Particularly, I wish to express my appreciation to \dagger Dr. Jacenty Kloch from the *Institute of Mathematics* in Kraków, Poland, for the valuable suggestions applied to calculation of the mass balance in the solid – liquid systems. I wish also to show my appreciation for all the efforts made by Dr. Manuel Bobadilla, associated with the teaching of experiments by the *Bridgman's* system, during my stay at the *IRSID - Maizieres-Ies-Metz*, France.

I am very grateful to Professor Toshiaki Himemiya from the *Wakkanai Hokusei College*, Japan, for both propagating the current model of solute redistribution at many research centers in Japan as well as for applying this model to some calculations of solidification path for some ternary systems, like cast irons and steels.

[†] Professor Aleksander Krupkowski from the *Institute of Metallurgy and Materials Science* in Kraków, Poland, was so kind to introduce me into the nature of the solute micro-segregation which appears during solidification of a binary alloy.

The financial support was provided by the National Center for Research and Development under Grant No. **PBS3/244 788/PP/MMB**.

REFERENCES

- E. Scheil, Über die Eutektische Kristallization, Zeitschrift für Metallkunde 34, 70-76 (1942).
- [2] A. Krupkowski, General Solution of the Equation Characterizing the Crystallization Process in Binary Alloys of Dendritic Type, Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Technical Sciences 13, 61-66 (1965).
- [3] W. Krajewski, A.L. Greer, T.E. Quested, W. Wołczyński, Identification of the Substrate of Heterogeneous Nucleation in Zn-Al Alloy Inoculated with Zn-Ti Based Master Alloy, Chapter 16 in: Solidification and Crystallization, p. 137-147, ed. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2004, eds. D.M. Herlach.
- [4] T. Lipiński, Modification of Al-Si Alloys with the Use of a Homogenous Modifiers. Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 53, 193-197 (2008).

- [5] T. Lipiński, P. Szabracki, Modification of the Hypo-Eutectic Al-Si Alloys with an Exothermic Modifier. Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 58, 453-458 (2013).
- [6] H. Nguyen-Thi, B. Drevet, W. Wołczyński, Influence of Microgravity on the Back-Diffusion Phenomenon in the Al-3.5Li Alloy Solidified Directionally, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 44, 365-371 (1999).
- [7] J. Kloch, B. Billia, T. Okane, T. Umeda, W. Wołczyński, Experimental Verification of the Solute Redistribution in Cellular/ Dendritic Solidification of the Al-3.5Li and Fe-4.34Ni Alloys, Materials Science Forum **329-330**, 31-36 (2000).
- [8] W. Wołczyński, J. Kloch, Mass Conservation for Micro-Segregation and Solute Redistribution in Cellular/Dendritic Solidification with Back-Diffusion, Materials Science Forum **329-330**, 345-351 (2000).
- [9] H.D. Brody, M.C. Flemings, Solute Redistribution in Dendritic Solidification, Transactions of the Metallurgical Society of AIME 236, 615-624 (1966).
- [10] M. Solari, H. Biloni, Micro-Segregation in Cellular and Cellular-Dendritic Growth, Journal of Crystal Growth 49, 451-457 (1980).
- [11] T. Clyne, W. Kurz, Solute Redistribution during Solidification with Rapid Solid State Diffusion, Metallurgical Transactions 12A, 965-971 (1981).
- [12] A. Roosz, Z. Gacsi, G. Fuchs, Solute Redistribution during Solidification and Homogeneisation of Binary Solid Solution, Acta Metallurgica 32, 1745-1754 (1984).
- [13] D.H. Kirkwood, Micro-Segregation, Materials Science and Engineering 65, 101-109 (1984).
- [14] I. Ohnaka, Mathematical Analysis of the Solute Redistribution during Solidification with Diffusion in the Solid Phase, Transactions ISIJ 26, 1045-1051 (1986).
- [15] S. Kobayashi, A Mathematical Model for Solute Redistribution during Dendritic Solidification, Transactions ISIJ 28, 535-542 (1988).
- [16] S. Kobayashi, Mathematical Analysis of Solute Redistribution during Solidification Based on a Columnar Dendrite Model, Transactions ISIJ 28, 728-735 (1988).
- [17] S. Kobayashi, Solute Redistribution during Solidification with Diffusion in the Solid Phase, Journal of Crystal Growth 88, 87-96 (1988).
- [18] S.W. Chen, Y.A. Chang, Micro-Segregation in Solidification for Ternary Alloys, Metallurgical Transactions 23A, 1038-1043 (1992).
- [19] L. Nastac, D.M. Stefanescu, An Analytical Model for Solute Redistribution during Solidification of Planar, Columnar, or Equiaxed Morphology, Metallurgical Transactions 24A, 2107-2118 (1993).
- [20] N.F. Dean, A. Mortensen, M.C. Flemings, Micro-Segregation in Cellular Solidification, Metallurgical Transactions 25A, 2295-2301 (1994).
- [21] T. Himemiya, T. Umeda, Solute Redistribution Model of Dendritic Solidification Considering Diffusion in both the Liquid and Solid Phases. ISIJ International 38, 730-738 (1998).
- [22] W. Wołczyński, J. Kloch, R. Ebner, W. Krajewski, The Use of Equilibrium Phase Diagram for the Calculation of Non-Equilibrium

Precipitates in Dendritic Solidification. Validation, Calphad **25**, 391-400 (2002).

- [23] W. Wołczyński, W. Krajewski, R. Ebner, J. Kloch, The Use of Equilibrium Phase Diagram for the Calculation of Non-Equilibrium Precipitates in Dendritic Solidification. Theory, Calphad 25, 401-408 (2002).
- [24] W. Wołczyński, Back-Diffusion Phenomenon during the Crystal Growth by the Bridgman Method, Chapter 2. in the book: Modelling of Transport Phenomena in Crystal Growth, p.19-59, WIT Press, Southampton (UK) – Boston (USA), 2000, eds. J.Szmyd & K.Suzuki.
- [25] W. Wołczyński, M. Bobadilla, A. Dytkowicz, Segregation Parameters for Cells or Columnar Dendrites of Alloys with δ/γ Transformation during Solidification, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials **45**, 303-307 (2000).
- [26] W. Wołczyński, T. Okane, J. Kloch, R. Ebner, Formation of the Doublets during Oriented Growth of the Fe-4.34Ni Alloy, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 46, 293-298 (2001).
- [27] M. Faryna, W. Wołczyński, T. Okane, Micro-Analytical Techniques Applied to Phase Identification and Measurement of Solute Redistribution at the Solid/Liquid Interface of Frozen Fe-4.34Ni Doublets, Mikrochimica Acta 139, 61-65 (2002).
- [28] W. Wołczyński, M. Faryna, Correlation between Solute Redistribution and Crystal Orientation in Fe-4.34wt%Ni Alloy Directionally Solidified by the Bridgman Technique, Materials Chemistry and Physics 81, 513-517 (2003).
- [29] M. Faryna, T. Okane, E. Guzik, W. Wołczyński, Analysis of Oriented Crystal Growth by EDS and EBSD Techniques, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 48, 421-423 (2003).
- [30] W. Wołczyński, Theory of Solute Segregation Application to Diffusion Soldering and to Ceramic Spraying on Metallic Substrate, Chapter 4. in the book: Recent Research Developments in Materials Science, p. 541-548, Research Signpost, Trivandrum, Kerala (India), 2003, ed. S.G.Pandalai.
- [31] W. Wołczyński, E. Guzik, K. Kurzydłowski, J. Kloch, J. Janczak-Rusch, Macro/Micro Gradient of Solute Concentration during Solidification of the Ni-Al-Ni Interconnections, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 50, 231-240 (2005).
- [32] W. Wołczyński, J. Kloch, J. Janczak-Rusch, K. Kurzydłowski, T. Okane, Segregation Profiles in Diffusion Soldered Ni/Al/Ni Interconnections, Materials Science Forum 508, 385-392 (2006).

Received: 20 April 2015.

- [33] W. Wołczyński, E. Guzik, D. Kopyciński, C. Senderowski, Mechanism of the Intermetallic Phase/Compound Growth on the Substrate, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 24, 324-327 (2007).
- [34] W. Wołczyński, J. Janczak-Rusch, Z. Pogoda, Formation of the Ni/Al/Ni Joint Structure Applying an Isothermal Solidification, Archives of Foundry Engineering 8, 337-342 (2008).
- [35] A. Pawłowski, C. Senderowski, W. Wołczyński, J. Morgiel, Ł. Major, Detonation Deposited Fe-Al Coatings. Part II: Transmission Electron Microscopy of Inter-Layers and Fe-Al Intermetallic Coating Detonation Sprayed onto the 045 Steel Substrate, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 56, 71-79 (2011).
- [36] W. Wołczyński, T. Okane, C. Senderowski, B. Kania, D. Zasada, J. Janczak-Rusch, Meta-Stable Conditions of Diffusion Brazing, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 56, 311-323 (2011).
- [37] W. Wołczyński, T. Okane, C. Senderowski, D. Zasada, B. Kania, J. Janczak-Rusch, Thermodynamic Justification for the Ni/Al/Ni Joint Formation by a Diffusion Brazing, International Journal of Thermodynamics 14, 97-105 (2011).
- [38] W. Wołczyński, C. Senderowski, J. Morgiel, G. Garzeł, D-gun Sprayed Fe-Al Single Particle Solidification, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 59, 211-220 (2014).
- [39] Z. Lipnicki, K. Pantoł, B. Weigand, Role of the Contact Layer in Continuous Casting of Thin Metal Rods, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 59, 167-172 (2014).
- [40] A.A. Ivanova, Calculation of Phase-Change Boundary Position in Continuous Casting, Archives of Foundry Engineering 13, 57-62 (2013).
- [41] M. Trepczyńska-Łent, Possibilities of the Materials Properties Improvement for the Cementite Eutectic by means of Unidirectional Solidification, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 58, 987-991 (2013).
- [42] W. Wołczyński, Constrained/Unconstrained Solidification within the Massive Cast Steel/Iron Ingots, Archives of Foundry Engineering 10, 195-202 (2010).
- [43] W. Wołczyński, Mathematical Modeling of the Microstructure of Large Steel Ingots, Entry 196 in: The Encyclopedia of Iron, Steel, and Their Alloys, ed. Taylor & Francis Group, New York (USA), 2015 (in print).