DOI: 10.24425/amm.2021.135864

## M. TRZASKALSKA<sup>1\*</sup>, R. CHWASTEK<sup>1</sup>

# DAMPING PROPERTIES AND DENSITY OF HELMET LINERS MADE OF EXPANDED POLYSTYRENE (EPS)

The purpose of the work was to determine the infuence of the bulk density  $\rho_z$  of granules, processing parameters and the density of ski inserts  $\rho_w$  made of expanded polystyrene (EPS) on their damping properties. For this aim liners for ski helmets with 3 different bulk densities were made. Sintering time and sintering pressure were also changed. The percentage damping factor  $\eta$  was determined on the basis of the results obtained in the rebound resilience test. Based on the analysis of the obtained data, it was found that increasing the density of EPS pads  $\rho_w$  increases their damping properties and at the same time contributes to a decrease in elasticity, increase in hardness and brittleness of EPS products.

Keywords: expanded PS, ski liners, PS foams, damping factor, bulk density

### 1. Introduction

In the era of more and more intensive care for the health, condition of the body and the associated security, it has become important to develop new solutions in this matter. Various types of protection, e.g. airbags in cars, inserts that dampen vibrations or relieve individual parts of the body against excessive overloads, have become a standard in our lives. Having this type of protection options, we increasingly decide on intense physical activity not only in spring and summer, but also in winter. A great example of activity can be sports such as skiing or snowboarding. It is necessary to properly protect the body, especially the head, exposed to traumas and injuries [1], because although head injuries constitute a small percentage of injuries associated with skiing and snowboarding, they are the main cause of death and disability associated with these sports [2]. Hence, it is often recommended to use helmets while skiing or snowboarding and many studies indicate a reduction in the risk of head injuries by up to 60% when using helmets during this activities [3-9].

The helmet components that protect the head are foam liner and helmet shell. Polymer foams, used for liners, are also often used as insulation, membranes, absorbents and for noise reduction and damping elements [10-17]. The task of the foam liner is to absorb energy during impact. The material often used for liners is, lightweight and cheap to produce, expanded polystyrene (EPS) [18-22]. Despite many years of using PS for liners, research is still being carried out on its expansion in various conditions. [23-24]. In turn, the authors of papers [25-29] analyzed, e.g. influence of polymer liner density on energy absorption during impact. In the works, it was noticed that helmet shells made of composites and dense EPS liners have better impact absorbing properties. By controlling the thickness and density of the foam liners, it is possible to regulate the ability to absorb impacts [25]. However, the thickness of the liner is adjustable taking into account the shape and comfort of use. In contrast, the density is limited by the requirements for the weight and magnitude of the accelerations that can be transferred during an impact on the head [27]. Therefore, the thickness of the inserts in motorcycle helmets is between 30 to 40 mm, and the density from 40 to 50 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. In turn, the thickness of the shells is from 1 to 2.5 mm if the shell is made of composite [29], or 4-5 mm if it is made of thermoplastics [30].

The properties of the base polymer, e.g. repeatability of units, terminal functional groups, crystal or amorphous structure, molecular weight and its distribution, as well as branched structure, have an impact on the cell structure, cell density and volume expansion factor (V.E.R) of polymer foams. For higher cell density in polymer foams with the same V.E.R. smaller cells, and therefore theoretically better mechanical and thermal properties, are obtained [31-33].

CZESTOCHOWA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY AND AUTOMATION, 21 ARMII KRAJOWEJ AV., 42-201 CZESTOCHOWA, POLAND

\* Corresponding author: trzaskalska@ipp.pcz.pl



© 2020. The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (CC BY-NC 4.0, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/deed.en which permits the use, redistribution of the material in any medium or format, transforming and building upon the material, provided that the article is properly cited, the use is noncommercial, and no modifications or adaptations are made. Although in recent years both, the material and the geometry, of the shell and liners have changed many times to provide the best protection, tests are still being continued to obtain the increase in the absorbance of impact energy by motorcycle and bicycle helmets. At the same time, there is a lack of comprehensive studies on the impact of foam liners density on the damping factor of ski and snowboard helmets.

The purpose of the work was to determine the infuence of the bulk density  $\rho_z$  of granules, the density of inserts  $\rho_w$  and processing parameters of parts made of expanded polystyrene (EPS) on their damping properties. The percentage damping factor  $\eta$  was determined on the basis of the results obtained in the rebound resilience test.

### 2. Research material and methods

Firstly, using a device called a foaming machine, the beads of expandable polystyrene were subjected to initial growth.

A foaming machine is a device in which polystyrene (PS) is processed to expanded polystyrene (EPS) by activating blowing agents. The construction of the foaming machine for the continuous expanded process in steam is shown in Figure 1. This device continuously generates balls of foamed PS. The foaming machine consists of a container for non-expanded polystyrene, which is transported to the steam chamber by means of a motor-driven screw. The stirrer inside the chamber ensures even foaming of the granules and prevents the material from caking. As a result of expanding (supplying steam under pressure), the granules increase their volume. Pentane (blowing agent), included in PS, contributes to several dozen increases in the volume of each granule. In interior of granules, in the pores formed this way, accumulates air. The bulk density of a material per volume unit is reduced. This means that intensively expanded, large granules occupy greater space in a blowing chamber, but have less density. At different heights, the chamber wall has outlet opening, through which EPS is received. Depending on the height at which the outlet opening is located, different bulk density  $\rho_z$  is obtained



Fig. 1. Construction of a foaming machine [25]

from expanded polystyrene [25]. Bulk density  $\rho_z$  is the amount of EPS per volume unit. EPS with the lowest bulk density  $\rho_z$  is obtained by setting the outlet opening at the highest possible height level. Similarly, granules with the highest bulk density  $\rho_z$  are obtained by setting the outlet opening at the lowest level.

By supplying steam at a pressure of about 0.4 MPa to the foaming chamber, pre-expanded PS granules were obtained. EPS was prepared in 3 different bulk densities  $\rho_z$ . In this way granules with low (A – 0.026 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), medium (B – 0.043 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and high (C – 0.076 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) bulk density  $\rho_z$  were prepared (Fig. 2) The foamed PS was dried and then seasoned for about 16 hours at room temperature. Seasoning in ventilated silos allows the removal of pentane residues and further air penetration inside the pores, providing them with necessary stability in further processing and operation.



Fig. 2. Pre-expanded polystyrene

The seasoned granules of pre-expanded PS were subjected to final sintering in an autoclave. The preparation of liners for helmets were as follows:

- pouring granules into the mould through the filling hole
- placing the mould in an autoclave
- selection and setting of process parameters, e.g. steam temperature and pressure etc.
- cooling the mould
- removing the mould from the autoclave
- opening the form and removing the finished liners for helmets

From the expanded PS, of three different granule sizes, 27 ski helmet liners have been prepared. Helmet liners number 1 to 9 were prepared from the EPS with the lowest bulk density  $\rho_z$  (A – 0.026 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, large granules), details number 10 to 18 prepared form EPS with the medium bulk density  $\rho_z$  (B – 0.043 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, medium granules), while those with numbers 19 to 27 were made of the material with the highest bulk density  $\rho_z$  (C – 0.076 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, small granules) (Fig. 3). The sintering parameters are shown in Table 1. In the article, two densities are distinguished:

341

TABLE 1

23 23 26

Fig. 3. Finished helmet liners made of EPS

- Bulk density  $\rho_z$  determines the density of pre-expanded, non – sintered PS granules,
- Helmet liners  $\rho_w$  determines the density of finished liners after the sintering process.

Three samples were cut from each helmet, by heated wire for thermal separation. The method of obtaining samples is shown in Figure 4. In this way 81 samples, produced with 3 variable parameters, were obtained:

- bulk density  $\rho_z A$ , B and C,
- sintering time  $t_s 15$  s, 20 s, 25 s,
- sintering pressure  $p_s 0.07$  MPa, 0.09 MPa, 0.11 MPa.

## 2.1. Density of ski helmet liners

Helmet liners density  $\rho_w$  was determined using a laboratory hydrostatic balance by Radwag AS 220.3Y. The obtained results of the influence of time  $t_s$  and sintering pressure  $p_s$  on the density  $\rho_w$  of the helmet liners made of different bulk density  $\rho_z$  EPS are shown in Fig. 5÷7.

Analyzing the obtained results (Fig. 5) for the samples made of EPS with the low (series 1-3) and medium (series 10-12) bulk density  $\rho_z$  and sintering time  $t_s = 15$  s, it was found that the sintering pressure  $p_s$  has no significant effect on the density of obtained helmet liners  $\rho_w$ . The slight effect of sintering pressure  $p_s$  is only noticeable for samples made of granules with the highest bulk density  $\rho_z$  (series 19-21) and is in the range from 0.54 to 0.57 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

Sample number	Bulk density ρ <sub>z</sub> [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	Sintering time t <sub>s</sub> [s]	Sintering pressure p <sub>s</sub> [MPa]
1	A	15	0.11
2	A	15	0.09
3	A	15	0.07
4	Α	20	0.11
5	Α	20	0.09
6	A	20	0.07
7	A	25	0.11
8	A	25	0.09
9	A	25	0.07
10	В	15	0.11
11	В	15	0.09
12	В	15	0.07
13	В	20	0.11
14	В	20	0.09
15	В	20	0.07
16	В	25	0.11
17	В	25	0.09
18	В	25	0.07
19	C	15	0.11
20	C	15	0.09
21	C	15	0.07
22	C	20	0.11
23	С	20	0.09
24	C	20	0.7
25	С	25	0.11
26	C	25	0.09
27	С	25	0.07

Sintering process parameters

On the other hand, for samples produced at  $t_s = 20$  s, it was noted that the highest density  $\rho_w$  was found for the helmet liners produced at the sintering pressure  $p_s$  equal to 0.09 MPa (samples 5, 14 and 23, Fig. 6), regardless of the bulk density. Changing (both increasing and decreasing) the sintering pressure, causes reduction of the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$ . This trend is most visible for helmet liners made of granules with a maximum bulk density  $\rho_z$ . In addition, it was found that increasing the bulk density  $\rho_z$  (A  $\rightarrow$  C, tab. 1) causes increasing the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$ . For example, samples made of granules with a minimum bulk density  $\rho_z$  (A) have a density  $\rho_w$  of approximately



Fig. 4. Helmet liners with marked sampling locations: a) right side b) front c) left side



Fig. 5. Influence of sintering pressure  $p_s$  on the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$  produced atsintering time 15 s



Fig. 6. Influence of sintering pressure  $p_s$  on the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$  produced atsintering time 20 s



Fig. 7. Influence of sintering pressure  $p_s$  on the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$  produced atsintering time 25

0.023 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at a sintering time of 20 s (regardless of pressure  $p_s$ ). As a result of the bulk density  $\rho_z$  increase, the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$  increased by 57% (series 4 and 22) and 61% (series 5 and 23 and 6 and 24).

In the case of further extension of the sintering time to 25 s, the tendencies observed earlier, persist (Fig. 7). However, in this case it was observed that the sintering pressure  $\rho_s$  has no significant effect on the obtained helmet liners density  $\rho_w$ . Samples density is similar, regardless of the sintering pressure.

Comparing the obtained results (Figs. 5-7), it was found that for samples (series 19-27) made of granules with the highest bulk density  $\rho_z$  the extension of sintering time causes the increase in the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$ . It may be due to tight filling of the space between the granules during their further growth and shrinkage of some granules overheated with steam.

#### 2.2. Rebound resilience test

The study was conducted on a self-designed and built test stand. The construction of the stand was designed on the basis of the standard PN-EN ISO 4651: 2000, where a steel ball with a mass of  $m_n = 28$  g and a diameter of  $D_n = 16$  mm dropped from a height of  $H_n = 0.4$  m is used to calculate the damping factor [34].

In order to determine the height from which a steel ball with a mass  $m_k = 12.62$  g and a diameter of  $D_{kl} = 14.5$  mm should be dropped, appropriate calculations were made. Using formula (1) for gravity potential energy [35]:

$$E_{p_c} = m \cdot g \cdot h \tag{1}$$

where: m – object mass [g], g – gravity [m/s<sup>2</sup>], h – height, at which the object is located relative to the adopted reference system [mm], and formula (2) on sphere-cross section:

$$P = \frac{\pi \cdot D^2}{4} \tag{2}$$

where: D – ball diameter, obtained (3):

$$\frac{m_n \cdot g \cdot H_n}{\frac{\pi \cdot D_n^2}{4}} = \frac{m_k \cdot g \cdot H}{\frac{\pi \cdot D_{kl}^2}{4}}$$
(3)

where:  $m_k$  – ball mass, H – height, from which the ball will be dropped,  $D_{kl}$  – ball diameter

After conversion was received (4):

$$H = \frac{\frac{\pi \cdot D_{kl}^{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{m_{n} \cdot g \cdot H_{n}}{\frac{\pi \cdot D_{n}^{2}}{4}}}{m_{k} \cdot g}$$
(4)

After substitution (5):

$$H = \frac{\frac{3,14 \cdot (0,0145)^2}{4} \cdot \frac{0,028 \cdot 9,81 \cdot 0,4}{3,14 \cdot (0,016)^2}}{0,012624 \cdot 9,81}$$
(5)

$$H = 73 \, [cm]$$

On the basis of calculations, it was specified that the steel ball should be dropped from a height H = 730 mm.

The previously prepared samples were successively placed under a vertically attached transparent tube made of polycarbonate (PC) with the following dimensions:

- 16 mm internal diameter,
- wall thickness 2 mm,
- length 1000 mm.

A steel ball pre-positioned at height H = 730 mm was dropped onto each of the 81 samples. The obtained results are shown in the table 2. Each attempt was recorded with a digital camera. From the recordings played back in slow motion, the height at which the steel ball bounced was determined.

The average height of bounced ball was calculated using the formula (6) for the percentage damping factor [36]:

$$\eta = \frac{h_{o_{sr}}}{H} \cdot 100\% \tag{6}$$

where:  $h_{o_{sr}}$  – average height of bounced ball [mm], H – height, from which the ball will be dropped [mm]

TABLE 2

Damping factor related to the average bounce height

No.	Bulk density	Average rebound	Damping factor η	Sintering time t <sub>s</sub> [s]	Sintering pressure <i>p</i> s
	$\rho_z$	height [mm]	[%]	time $l_s$ [S]	[MPa]
1	Α	186	25,53	15	0.11
2	А	176	24,05	15	0.09
3	А	173	23,74	15	0.07
4	А	189	25,87	20	0.11
5	А	185	25,40	20	0.09
6	А	181	24,75	20	0.07
7	А	190	26,00	25	0.11
8	А	184	25,18	25	0.09
9	А	187	25,62	25	0.07
10	В	148	20,33	15	0.11
11	В	145	19,92	15	0.09
12	В	146	20,00	15	0.07
13	В	159	21,84	20	0.11
14	В	153	20,99	20	0.09
15	В	159	21,83	20	0.07
16	В	156	21,37	25	0.11
17	В	161	22,01	25	0.09
18	В	153	20,98	25	0.07
19	С	119	16,30	15	0.11
20	С	120	16,39	15	0.09
21	С	118	16,12	15	0.07
22	С	126	17,28	20	0.11
23	С	119	16,36	20	0.09
24	С	121	16,58	20	0.07
25	С	132	18,06	25	0.11
26	С	124	16,95	25	0.09
27	С	122	16,76	25	0.07

Based on the obtained results (Table 2) for samples produced during 15s (series 1-3, 10-12 and 19-21), it was found that the sintering pressure  $p_s$  does not significantly affect changes in the damping properties. Only for series 1-3 the damping factor  $\eta$ of samples produced at sintering pressure  $p_s$  equal 0.11 MPa is slightly higher than the others. It was also noted that the helmet liners made of EPS with the highest bulk density  $\rho_z$  have the highest damping factor  $\eta$  approx. 25% (for samples from series 1). The lowest value of the damping factor  $\eta$  was observed for samples made of EPS with the lowest density  $\rho_z$  (about 16% for details number 19-21). The extension of sintering time  $t_s$  to 20s (series 4-6, 13-15 and 22-24) did not significantly affect the damping factor of the helmet liners obtained from the lowest density  $\rho_z$  EPS. However, in the case of helmet liners made of medium density  $\rho_z$  EPS, a slight increase in the damping factor  $\eta$  (approx. 2-9%) can be seen. It was also found that at sintering pressure  $p_s = 0.11$  MPa, the damping factor is slightly higher for all tested samples.

For samples made at sintering time  $t_s$  25s, a reduction of the damping factor  $\eta$  by as much as 15-35% (series 7-9, 16-18 and 25-27) in comparison to samples from series 1-3, was found. However, it cannot be clearly determined whether this is the effect of sintering time or the bulk density of the granulate. There was no significant effect of sintering pressure  $p_s$  on the damping factor. It is noteworthy that in most cases, the largest value of the damping factor  $\eta$  was found in samples produced at sintering pressure  $p_s$  of 0.11 MPa. The pressure reduction to 0.07 MPa caused a slight decrease in the value of the damping factor  $\eta$ .

Based on the obtained results, it was found that the worst damping properties characterized helmet liners made of EPS with the highest bulk density  $\rho_z$ . The best properties have samples whose density  $\rho_w$  is between 0.054 to 0.06 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

### 3. Conclusions

Based on the carried out tests, it has been noticed that it is possible to prepare helmet liners with different bulk density. Depending on the mentioned density, the helmet liners may have a different damping factor. Samples were prepared according to a test plan containing three variables, i.e. bulk density  $\rho_z$ , sintering pressure  $p_s$  and sintering time  $t_s$ . From the obtained results in the rebound resilience tests, used to determine the percentage damping factor, it can be concluded that the bulk density  $\rho_z$  has the greatest influence on changes in the damping properties of elements made of EPS.

The described results clearly indicate the relationship between the helmet liners density  $\rho_w$  and the damping factor  $\eta$ . Increasing the helmet liners density increases the damping properties of the ski helmet. However, it also contributes to increasing the hardness and brittleness of EPS products [25-28].

The best properties have samples whose density  $\rho_w$  is between 0.054 to 0.06 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and were made in the low bulk density  $\rho_z$  regardless of sintering time and pressure (1-9 series).

The tests allowed to obtain important information that can be used for further research, and as a consequence improve safety, including a better development of the construction of ski helmet liners.

## REFERENCES

- T.P. Cundy, B.J. Systermans, W.J. Cundy, et al., J. Trauma. 69 (6), 1486-90 (2010).
- [2] A.S. Levy, R.H. Smith, Semin Neurol. 20 (2), 233-46 (2000).

- [3] M.D. Cusimano, J. Kwok, Br. J. Sports Med. 44 (11), 781-786 (2010).
- [4] A.H. Haider, T. Saleem, J.W. Bilaniuk, J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 73 (5), 1340-1347 (2012).
- [5] S. Sulheim, I, Holme. A. Ekeland, JAMA. 295 (8), 919-924 (2006).
- [6] B. Hagel, I.B. Pless, C. Goulet, Acid. Anal. Prev. 37 (1), 103-108 (2005).
- [7] G. Ruedl, M. Burtscher, M. Wolf, Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports 25 (1), 125-130 (2015).
- [8] K. Russell, J. Chrisie, B. Hagel, CMAJ 182 (4), 333-340 (2010).
- [9] L. Fenerty, J. Heatley, J. Young, Inj. Prev. 22 (3), 176-180 (2016).
- [10] C.A. Martinez-Perez, P.E. Garcia-Casillas, P. Romero, et al., JAdv. Mat. 1 (1), 5-11 (2006).
- [11] Y.L. Yang, M.C. Gupta, K.L. Dudley, R.W. Lawrence, Adv. Mat. 17 (16), 1999-2003 (2005).
- [12] D. Klempner, K.C. Frisch, Handbook of polymeric foams and foam technology, Hanser Publishers, Munich, Vienna and New York (1992).
- [13] G.T. Lim, V. Altstädt, F. Ramsteiner, J. Cell Plast. 45 (5), 419-39 (2009).
- [14] H. Ruckdäschel, P. Gutmann, V. Altstädt, et al., Adv. Polym. Sci. 227, 199-252 (2010).
- [15] M. Stumpf, A. Spörrer, H.W. Schmidt, V. Altstädt, J. Cell. Plast. 47 (6), 519-34 (2011).
- [16] F. Wolff, B.C. Nicolat, T. Frey, P. Greil, H. Münstedt, Adv. Eng. Mater. 14 (12), 1110-5 (2012).
- [17] H. Wu, G. Zhao, J. Wang, G. Wang, M. Zhang, Express Polym. Lett. 13 (12), 1041-56 (2019).
- [18] A. Gilchrist, N.J. Mills, Int. J. Impact Eng. 45 (3), 201-18 (1994).
- [19] N.J. Mills, A. Gilchrist, Acid Anal. Prev. 23 (2-3), 153-63 (1991).
- [20] F.M. Suaeib, A.M.S. Hamouda, M.M. Hamdan, et al., J. Mater. Process Technol. **123** (3), 422-31 (2002).

- [21] L. Di Landro, G. Sala, D. Olivieri, Polym. Test. 21 (2), 217-28 (2002).
- [22] X.M. Han, J. Shen, H.X. Huang, D.L. Tomasko, L.J. Lee, Pol. Eng. Sci. 47 (2), 103-11 (2007).
- [23] H. Yokoyama, K. Sugiyama, Macromolecules 38 (25), 10516-10522 (2005).
- [24] P. Ghariniyat, S.N. Leung, Compos. Part B Eng. 143, 9-18 (2018).
- [25] V. Kostoupoulos, Y.P. Markopoulos, G. Giannocushpoulos, D.E. Vlachos, Compos. Part B Eng. 33 (2), 99-107 (2002).
- [26] P.D. Hopes, B.P. Chinn, IRCOBI, Stockholm; 39-54 (1989).
- [27] A.L. Yettram, N.P. Godfrey, B.P. Chinn, Plast Rubber Compos Process Appl. 22, 215-21 (1994).
- [28] S. Chandler, A. Gilchrist, N.J. Mills, IRCOBI, Berlin; 249-61 (1991).
- [29] N.J. Mills, S. Wilkes, S. Delred, A. Flisch, Int. J. Impact Eng. 36 (7), 913-25 (2009).
- [30] P.K. Pinnoji, P. Mahajan, N. Bourdet, C. Deck, R. Willinger, Int. J. Impact Eng. 37 (3), 274-84 (2010).
- [31] S.-T. Lee, C.B. Park, Foam Extrusion: Principles and Practice, CRC press, New York (2014).
- [32] S.-T. Lee, C.B. Park, N.S. Ramesh, Polymeric Foams: Science and Technology, CRC Press, New York (2006).
- [33] S.-T. Lee, N.S. Ramesh, Polymeric Foams: Mechanisms and Materials, CRC press, New York (2004).
- [34] PN-EN ISO 4651:2000: Rubbers and foams. Determination of dynamic cushioning performance.
- [35] http://winntbg.bg.agh.edu.pl/skrypty3/0370/Fizyka2019.pdf, accessed 2.07.2020.
- [36] E. Bociąga, T. Jaruga, Materiały niemetalowe. Skrypty Politechniki Częstochowskiej, Czestochowa University of Technology Publishing, Czestochowa (2013).